

2019 Kristian Anderson Award

ASSESSMENT MATRIX

1. Scientific Quality & Feasibility (25%)

4 Highly-competitive; Must fund	3 Very-competitive; Should fund	2 Competitive; Could fund	1 Non-competitive; -Not fund
<p>The proposal:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • has objectives that are very well-defined, highly coherent and strongly developed. • has a near flawless design. • is without question, highly feasible given that all of the required expertise and research tools and techniques are present in the relevant research environment(s). 	<p>The proposal has a research plan that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • has objectives that are well-defined, coherent and well developed. • is well designed. • is highly feasible given the experience, skills and readiness of applicant and the proposed research environment 	<p>The proposal has a research plan that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • is clear in its scientific approach and is logical. • raises more than a few minor concerns with respect to the study design. • is generally feasible, although some concerns exist about the on-going need to develop or obtain some research tools or techniques. 	<p>The proposal has a research plan that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • has unclear objectives. • raises several major concerns regarding the study design. • is not likely to be feasible.

2. Innovation & Significance (25%)

4 Highly-competitive; Must fund	3 Very-competitive; Should fund	2 Competitive; Could fund	1 Non-competitive; -Not fund
<p>The proposal:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • is highly innovative and significant 	<p>The proposal:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • is innovative and significant 	<p>The proposal:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • has the potential to be innovative and/or significant 	<p>The proposal:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • is not innovative or significant

3. Candidate Track Record (25%)

4 Highly-competitive; Must fund	3 Very-competitive; Should fund	2 Competitive; Could fund	1 Non-competitive;-Not fund
<p>Relative to opportunity, the applicant has:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •an exemplary academic record. •expertise that is specific to the proposed research both in terms of its depth and breadth. •has demonstrated a high level of commitment to academic achievement throughout their undergraduate degree and since qualification. •has demonstrated an excellent capability to work independently as well as in a team. 	<p>Relative to opportunity, the applicant has:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •a very good academic record. •expertise that is closely aligned to the proposed research both in terms of its depth and breadth. •has demonstrated a very good level of commitment to academic achievement throughout their undergraduate degree and since qualification. •has demonstrated a very good capability to work independently as well as in a team. 	<p>Relative to opportunity, the applicant has:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •a good academic record. •expertise that aligned to the proposed research both in terms of its depth and breadth. •has demonstrated a good level of commitment to academic achievement throughout their undergraduate degree and since qualification. •has demonstrated a good capability to work independently as well as in a team. 	<p>Relative to opportunity, the applicant has:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •a satisfactory academic record. •expertise that is not sufficiently aligned to the proposed research both in terms of its depth and breadth. •has not demonstrated commitment to academic achievement throughout their undergraduate degree and since qualification. •has not demonstrated a good capability to work independently as well as in a team.

4. Supervisor Track Record (25%)

4 Highly-competitive; Must fund	3 Very-competitive; Should fund	2 Competitive; Could fund	1 Non-competitive;-Not fund
<p>Relative to opportunity, the Supervisor has:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •an exemplary academic record. •expertise that is specific to the proposed research both in terms of its depth and breadth. •has demonstrated an excellent capability to supervise the candidate. 	<p>Relative to opportunity, the Supervisor has:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •a very good academic record. •expertise that is closely aligned to the proposed research both in terms of its depth and breadth. •has demonstrated a very good capability to supervise the candidate. 	<p>Relative to opportunity, the Supervisor has:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •a good academic record. •expertise that aligned to the proposed research both in terms of its depth and breadth. •has demonstrated a good capability to supervise the candidate. 	<p>Relative to opportunity, the Supervisor has:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •a satisfactory academic record. •expertise that is not sufficiently aligned to the proposed research both in terms of its depth and breadth. •has not demonstrated a good capability to supervise the candidate.